FRAMED MODULI SPACES AND TUPLES OF OPERATORS

STANISLAV FEDOTOV

ABSTRACT. In this work we address the classical problem of classifying tuples of linear operators and linear functions on a finite dimensional vector space up to base change. Having adopted for the situation considered a construction of framed moduli spaces of quivers, we develop an explicit classification of tuples belonging to a Zariski open subset. For such tuples we provide a finite family of normal forms and a procedure allowing to determine whether two tuples are equivalent.

Introduction

A quiver Q is a diagram of arrows, determined by two finite sets Q_0 (the set of "vertices") and Q_1 (the set of "arrows") with two maps $h, t: Q_1 \to Q_0$ which indicate the vertices at the head and tail of each arrow. A representation W of Q is a collection of (probably infinite dimensional) \mathbb{R} -vector spaces W_i , for each $i \in Q_0$, together with linear maps $W_a: W_{ta} \to W_{ha}$, for each $a \in Q_1$. The dimension vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}$ of such a representation is given by $\alpha_i = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} W_i$. A morphism $\psi: W \to U$ of representations consists of linear maps $\psi_i: W_i \to U_i$, for each $i \in Q_0$, such that $\psi_{ha}W_a = U_a\psi_{ta}$, for each $a \in Q_1$. It is an isomorphism if and only if each ψ_i is.

Having chosen vector spaces W_i of dimension α_i , the isomorphism classes of representations of Q with dimension vector α are in natural one-to-one correspondence with the orbits of the group

$$GL(\alpha) := \prod_{i \in Q_0} GL(W_i)$$

in the representation space

$$\operatorname{Rep}(Q, \alpha) := \bigoplus_{a \in Q_1} \operatorname{Hom}(W_{ta}, W_{ha}).$$

The action is given by $(g \cdot W)_a = g_{ha}W_ag_{ta}^{-1}$, where $g = (g_i)_{i \in Q_0} \in GL(\alpha)$. Note that the one-parameter subgroup $\Delta = \{(tE, \ldots, tE)\}$ acts trivially.

Quivers provide a convenient interpretation of many classical problems of linear algebra. The one we are particularly interested in is classification of tuples of q linear operators and k linear functions on an m-dimensional vector space. In the language of quivers this is equivalent to classification of (m,1)-dimensional representations of $L_{q,k}$, where by $L_{q,k}$ we denote the quiver with two vertices, q loops in the first vertex and k more arrows going from the first vertex to the second one. This problem is known to be wild even for q=2 and k=0, that is no hope remains to write down a complete list of isomorphism classes of representations or even to obtain an algorithm determining whether two given representations are isomorphic. In fact, representation theory of the quiver $L_2:=L_{2,0}$ is proved to be undecidable, see [1] and [6] for a rigorous formulation and a proof of this result.

However, for $\alpha=(m,1)$ it is possible to explicitly classify representations belonging to a Zariski open subset of $\text{Rep}(L_{q,k},\alpha)$. The idea comes from the study of stable framed representations.

Let Q be a quiver and α be a dimension vector. Fix an additional dimension vector ζ and consider the space $\operatorname{Rep}(Q,\alpha,\zeta):=\operatorname{Rep}(Q,\alpha)\oplus\bigoplus_{i\in Q_0}\operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(\Bbbk^{\alpha_i},\Bbbk^{\zeta_i})$. Its elements are said to be framed representations of Q. Define a $\operatorname{GL}(\alpha)$ -action on $\operatorname{Rep}(Q,\alpha,\zeta)$ by $g\cdot (M,(f_i)_{i\in Q_0})=(g\cdot M,(f_ig_i^{-1})_{i\in Q_0})$. A framed representation $(M,(f_i)_{i\in Q_0})$ is called stable if there is no nonzero subrepresentation N of M with $M_i\subseteq\ker f_i$, for all $i\in Q_0$. Denote by $\operatorname{Rep}^s(Q,\alpha,\zeta)$ the set of stable framed representations. It is known (see, for example, [8, Theorem 2.3]), that the subset $\operatorname{Rep}^s(Q,\alpha,\zeta)$ admits a geometric quotient, i.e., a morphism to an algebraic variety $M^s(Q,\alpha,\zeta):=\operatorname{Rep}^s(Q,\alpha,\zeta)/\!\!/ \operatorname{GL}(\alpha)$ whose fibers coincide with $\operatorname{GL}(\alpha)$ -orbits. Moreover, for quivers without oriented cycles M. Reineke proved [8, Proposition 3.9] that the quotient space $M^s(Q,\alpha,\zeta)$ is isomorphic to a Grassmannian of subrepresentations of a certain injective representation of Q. In the general case the quotient is not projective and may not be realized as a Grassmannian of subrepresentations. However, some geometric structure may be revealed by projecting $M^s(Q,\alpha,\zeta)$ to the categorical quotient $\operatorname{Rep}(Q,\alpha,\zeta)/\!\!/ \operatorname{GL}(\alpha)$ and studying fibers of this projection, see [2] and [3] for details.

From [7, Proposition 0.9] it follows that the quotient morphism $\operatorname{Rep}^s(Q,\alpha,\zeta) \to \mathcal{M}^s(Q,\alpha,\zeta)$ is a principal fiber bundle. It remains a problem, however, to explicitly describe a finite (and possibly minimal) trivializing covering of the quotient. We construct such a covering using J-skeleta of framed representations, a concept that is a version of the one introduced by K. Bongartz and B. Huisgen-Zimmermann for representations of finite dimensional algebras (see, for example, [4]) adopted and partially simplified to fit our setup. Namely, we show (Theorem 3.3) that $\operatorname{Rep}^s(Q,\alpha,\zeta) = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{S}} X(\mathfrak{S})$, where $X(\mathfrak{S})$ are open subsets parameterized by J-skeleta \mathfrak{S} such that $X(\mathfrak{S}) \cong \operatorname{GL}(\alpha) \times \mathbb{A}^N$, for some positive integer N, and the restriction of the quotient map to $X(\mathfrak{S})$ is the projection onto the second factor.

Framed representations admit another useful interpretation. Consider a new quiver Q^{ζ} with $Q_0^{\zeta} = Q_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, the arrow of Q^{ζ} being those of Q together with ζ_i arrows from i ($i \in Q_0$) to ∞ . We also extend the dimension vector α to α^{ζ} , setting $\alpha_i^{\zeta} = \alpha_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $\alpha_{\infty}^{\zeta} = 1$. It is easy to show that $\operatorname{Rep}(Q, \alpha, \zeta)$ may be identified with $\operatorname{Rep}(Q^{\zeta}, \alpha^{\zeta})$.

Clearly $\operatorname{Rep}(L_{q,k},(m,1))$ is the same as $\operatorname{Rep}(L_q^{(k)},m^{(k)})$, i.e., as $\operatorname{Rep}(L_q,m,k)$. So, there is a Zariski open subset $\operatorname{Rep}^s(L_{q,k},(m,1))$ of $\operatorname{Rep}(L_{q,k},(m,1))$ where a complete classification of representations is possible. Translating our definition of stable pairs into the language of linear algebra, we may say that $\operatorname{Rep}^s(L_{q,k},(m,1))$ consists of such tuples $(\varphi_1,\ldots,\varphi_q,f_1,\ldots,f_k)\in (\operatorname{End}_{\Bbbk}(\Bbbk^m))^q\oplus ((\Bbbk^m)^*)^k$ that no common proper nonzero invariant subspace of φ_i lies in the common kernel of all f_j . In this paper we show how an explicit classification may be obtained in this setup over an arbitrary field \Bbbk .

Section 1 is devoted to exploring a generalized version of the construction introduced in [8]. In Section 2 we define J-skeleta of framed representations and show their existence. In Section 3 we prove that the quotient may be embedded as a locally closed subset in a product of ordinary Grassmannians and construct the above mentioned trivializing covering of $\mathcal{M}^s(Q,\alpha,\zeta)$. Furthermore, we provide a finite family of normal forms for each stable pair and an algorithm allowing to determine whether two stable framed representations are isomorphic. In Section 4 we give a series of examples illustrating how this technique works.

The author thanks his supervisor I. Arzhantsev for useful discussions.

1. Stable framed representations

Let Q be a quiver with n vertices, α and ζ be two dimension vectors. Choose a vector space $V = \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} V_i$ with $\dim V_i = \zeta_i$, for $i \in Q_0$. Elements of $\operatorname{Rep}(Q, \alpha, \zeta)$ may be viewed as pairs (M, f), where M is a representation of Q and $f = (f_i : M_i \to V_i)_{i \in Q_0}$ is a map of graded vector spaces.

Recall that a path in Q is a formal product of arrows $a_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot a_k$ such that $t(a_i) = h(a_{i+1})$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$, or a symbol e_i with $i \in Q_0$. For a path $\tau = a_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot a_k$ we set $t(\tau) = t(a_k)$ and $h(\tau) = h(a_1)$. We also put $h(e_i) = t(e_i) = i$. There is an obvious way to multiply successive paths: if $h(\tau) = t(\sigma)$, the product $\sigma \cdot \tau$ is defined as the concatenation of these paths. All e_i are treated as paths of zero length, that is $e_i^2 = e_i$, for all $i \in Q_0$, and $\tau e_{t(\tau)} = e_{h(\tau)}\tau$, for every path τ .

For each $i \in Q_0$ denote by I_i the following representation of Q. Set

$$(I_i)_i = (\operatorname{span} \{ \tau \mid \tau : j \leadsto i \text{ is a path in } Q \})^*,$$

where " $\tau: j \leadsto i$ " means that τ starts in the j-th vertex and ends in the i-th one and $(\cdot)^*$ stands for the dual vector space; in this case $((I_i)_{a:k\to l}f)(\tau)=f(\tau a)$, where $\tau: l \leadsto i$. This may be rewritten in a more convenient way using the elements in $(I_i)_j$ dual to paths. Namely, to each path $\tau: j \leadsto i$ in Q we associate an element τ^* in $(I_i)_j$ such that for every $\sigma: j \leadsto i$ we have

$$\tau^*(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \tau = \sigma, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that elements of $(I_i)_j$ may be written as (probably infinite) formal series in τ^* , for $\tau: i \leadsto j$. In this notation the maps $(I_i)_a$, $a \in Q_1$, are as follows:

$$(I_i)_a(\tau^*) = \begin{cases} \lambda^*, & \text{if } \tau = \lambda a, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the representation $J:=\bigoplus_{i\in Q_0}I_i\otimes_{\Bbbk}V_i.$ Notice that as a \Bbbk -linear space

$$J_i = e_i J \cong \prod_{j \in Q_0} (I_j)_i \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} V_j \cong \prod_{j \in Q_0} \prod_{\tau: i \leadsto j} V_j \cong \prod_{\tau: i \leadsto j} V_j.$$

Sometimes it is convenient to label each component V_j by the corresponding path τ writing $J_i \cong \prod_{\tau: i \leadsto j} V_j^{(\tau)}$.

Given a point $(M,f) \in \operatorname{Rep}(Q,\alpha,\zeta)$ we define a map $\Phi_{(M,f)} = (\varphi_i)_{i \in Q_0} : M \to J$ by the following rule:

(1.1)
$$\varphi_i = \prod_{\tau: i \leadsto j} f_j \tau : M_i \to \prod_{\tau: i \leadsto j} V_j,$$

where $\tau(x) := M_{a_1} \dots M_{a_k}(x)$ for $x \in M_i$ and $\tau = a_1 \dots a_k$.

The following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 1.1. The map $\Phi_{(M,f)}$ is a morphism of representations of Q.

Proposition 1.2. The subspace $\ker \Phi_{(M,f)} = \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} \ker \varphi_i$ is the maximal $\mathbb{k}Q$ -submodule of M contained in $\ker f$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 1.1 that $\ker \Phi_{(M,f)}$ is a $\mathbb{k}Q$ -submodule of M. One also easily observes that $\ker \Phi_{(M,f)} \subseteq \ker f$. Now, let U be a $\mathbb{k}Q$ -submodule of M contained in $\ker f$. For each $\tau: i \leadsto j$ we then have $\tau U_i = \tau e_i U = \tau U = e_j \tau U \subseteq U_j$. This implies that $f_j(\tau \cdot x) = 0$, for all $x \in U, j \in Q_0$ and for all paths τ , i. e. $U \subseteq \ker \Phi_{(M,f)}$.

Corollary 1.3. The map $\Phi_{(M,f)}: M \to J$ is injective if and only if the pair (M,f) is stable.

This observation is crucial for the construction. Associated to the maps φ_i are (probably infinite) matrices with rows $f_{iq}\tau$, where $\tau:j\leadsto i, q\in\{1,\ldots,\zeta_i\}$, and f_{iq} stands for the q-th row of the matrix of f_i . The map is injective if and only if one of $\alpha_i\times\alpha_i$ minors of its matrix is nonzero, i.e., for some $\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_{\alpha_i}$ and q_1,\ldots,q_{α_i} , we have

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} f_{iq_1}\tau_1 \\ f_{iq_2}\tau_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_{iq_{\alpha_i}}\tau_{\alpha_i} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Therefore, a pair (M, f) is stable if and only if for each $i \in Q_0$ there is a set of numbers $q_1^{(i)}, \ldots, q_{\alpha_i}^{(i)}$ and a set of distinct paths $\tau_1^{(i)}, \ldots, \tau_{\alpha_i}^{(i)}$ with

$$D_{(\tau_{1}^{(1)}, \dots, \tau_{\alpha_{1}}^{(1)}; \dots; \tau_{1}^{(n)}, \dots, \tau_{\alpha_{n}}^{(n)})}^{(q_{1}^{(1)}, \dots, q_{\alpha_{n}}^{(n)}; \dots; q_{1}^{(n)}, \dots, \tau_{\alpha_{n}}^{(n)})} := \det \begin{pmatrix} f_{iq_{1}^{(1)}} \tau_{1}^{(1)} \\ f_{iq_{2}^{(1)}} \tau_{2}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ f_{iq_{\alpha_{1}}^{(1)}} \tau_{\alpha_{1}}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \dots \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} f_{iq_{1}^{(n)}} \tau_{1}^{(n)} \\ f_{iq_{2}^{(n)}} \tau_{2}^{(n)} \\ \vdots \\ f_{iq_{\alpha_{n}}^{(n)}} \tau_{\alpha_{n}}^{(n)} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Thus $\operatorname{Rep}^s(Q, \alpha, \zeta)$ is covered by open subsets $U_{(\tau_1^{(1)}, \dots, \tau_{\alpha_1}^{(1)}; \dots; \tau_1^{(n)}, \dots, \tau_{\alpha_n}^{(n)})}^{(q_1^{(1)}, \dots, q_{\alpha_n}^{(1)}; \dots; q_1^{(n)}, \dots, q_{\alpha_n}^{(n)})}$, which are the nonzero loci of the corresponding $D_{(\tau_1^{(1)}, \dots, \tau_{\alpha_1}^{(1)}; \dots; \tau_1^{(n)}, \dots, \tau_{\alpha_n}^{(n)})}^{(q_1^{(1)}, \dots, q_{\alpha_1}^{(1)}; \dots; q_1^{(n)}, \dots, q_{\alpha_n}^{(n)})}$, and consequently the moduli space is covered by the quotients $V_{(\tau_1^{(1)}, \dots, \tau_{\alpha_1}^{(1)}; \dots; \tau_1^{(n)}, \dots, \tau_{\alpha_n}^{(n)})}^{(q_1^{(1)}, \dots, q_{\alpha_n}^{(1)}; \dots; \tau_1^{(n)}, \dots, \tau_{\alpha_n}^{(n)})} := U_{(\tau_1^{(1)}, \dots, \tau_{\alpha_1}^{(1)}; \dots; \tau_1^{(n)}, \dots, \tau_{\alpha_n}^{(n)})}^{(q_1^{(1)}, \dots, q_{\alpha_n}^{(n)})} / GL(\alpha)$. In next section we shall prove that this covering admits a finite subcovering.

2. Skeleta of stable pairs

Let Q, α and ζ be as before. Consider a quiver Q^{ζ} with $Q_0^{\zeta} = Q_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, the arrows of Q^{ζ} being those of Q together with ζ_i arrows from each $i \in Q_0$ to ∞ . Denote the new arrows by f_{iq} , where i indicates the tail of an arrow and $q \in \{1, \ldots, \zeta_i\}$. We also extend the dimension vector α to α^{ζ} , setting $\alpha_i^{\zeta} = \alpha_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $\alpha_{\infty}^{\zeta} = 1$.

Observe that the sets $\operatorname{Rep}(Q, \alpha, \zeta)$ and $\operatorname{Rep}(Q^{\zeta}, \alpha^{\zeta})$ may be identified in a $\operatorname{GL}(\alpha)$ -invariant way. Indeed,

$$\operatorname{Rep}(Q, \alpha, \zeta) = \operatorname{Rep}(Q, \alpha) \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{k}^{\alpha_i}, \mathbb{k}^{\zeta_i}) \cong$$

$$\cong \operatorname{Rep}(Q, \alpha) \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{k}^{\alpha_i}, \mathbb{k})^{\zeta_i} = \operatorname{Rep}(Q^{\zeta}, \alpha^{\zeta}).$$

In terms of matrices this isomorphism has the following interpretation. Let (M, f) be a framed representation and \widetilde{M} be the corresponding representation of Q^{ζ} . Then matrices of $\widetilde{M}_{f_{iq}}$, $q=1,\ldots,\zeta_i$ are rows of the matrix of f_i (i.e., what was denoted by f_{iq} in Section 1). This justifies our seeming abuse of notation.

Example 2.1. Let $Q = 1 \xrightarrow{b} 2 \xrightarrow{c} 3$ and $\zeta = (1, 2, 3)$. Then we have

$$Q^{\zeta} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{11} & & & & \\ & f_{21} & f_{22} & f_{31} \\ & & & & \\ 1 & & & 2 & \\ & & & & \\ a & & & & \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

Furthermore, if $\alpha = (2, 2, 1)$ and

for some M_a , M_b and M_c , then the corresponding representation \widetilde{M} of Q^{ζ} is as follows

$$\widetilde{M} = \begin{pmatrix} (15) & & & \\ & (31) & & & \\ & & (42) & & \\ & & M_c & & \\ & & M_c & & \\ & & M_c & & \\ & & & M_c & \\ & & & M_c & \\ & & & \\ &$$

The representation J may also be extended in a natural way to a representation \widetilde{J} of Q^{ζ} . Set $\widetilde{J}_i = J_i$ and $\widetilde{J}_a = J_a$, for $i \in Q_0$ and $a \in Q_1$. Set further $\widetilde{J}_{\infty} = \mathbb{k}$ and $\bigoplus_{b:i \to \infty} J_b: J_i \to \mathbb{k}^{\zeta_i}$ be the projection $\prod_{\tau:i \leadsto j} V_j^{(\tau)} \to V_i^{(e_i)}$, for each $i \in Q_0$. A straightforward calculation shows that thus constructed \widetilde{J} is isomorphic to the representation I_{∞} of Q^{ζ} . In particular, elements of \widetilde{J}_i may be represented as (possibly infinite) formal series in $(f_{jq}\tau)^*$, for $j \in Q_0$, $q = 1, \ldots, \zeta_j$, and $\tau: i \leadsto j$. This implies that paths in Q^{ζ} may be viewed as linear functions on \widetilde{J} .

For a framed representation $(M,f) \in \operatorname{Rep}(Q,\alpha,\zeta)$ consider the corresponding representation \widetilde{M} of Q^{ζ} . The map $\Phi_{(M,f)}$ induces then a morphism $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\widetilde{M}}:\widetilde{M}\to \widetilde{J}$ of representations of Q^{ζ} defined by $\widetilde{\varphi}_i=\varphi_i$, for $i\in Q_0,\,\widetilde{\varphi}_{\infty}=\operatorname{id}_{\Bbbk}$. It follows from Corollary 1 that a pair (M,f) is stable if and only if the corresponding map $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\widetilde{M}}$ is an embedding.

Definition. By a *J-skeleton of a stable pair* (M, f) we understand a set \mathfrak{S} of paths of nonzero length in Q^{ζ} ending in ∞ with the following properties:

- (1) Restrictions of paths in $\mathfrak S$ together with e_∞ give a basis in $\widetilde\Phi_{\widetilde M}\left(\widetilde M\right)^*$.
- (2) Whenever τa is in \mathfrak{S} and $\tau \neq e_{\infty}$, τ is also in \mathfrak{S} .

The dimension vector of a J-skeleton \mathfrak{S} is the dimension vector $\alpha = \underline{\dim}(\mathfrak{S})$ of any stable pair with J-skeleton \mathfrak{S} . It is easy to see that α_i equals the number of paths in \mathfrak{S} ending on f_{iq} for any $q = 1, \ldots, \zeta_i$. For $i \in Q_0$ we set $\mathfrak{S}_i = \{f_{iq}\tau \in \mathfrak{S}\}$.

Lemma 2.2. Every stable pair (M, f) has a J-skeleton.

Proof. Let (M, f) be a stable pair. Let also \widetilde{M} be the corresponding representation of Q^{ζ} . Denote by N its image $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\widetilde{M}}(\widetilde{M}) \subseteq \widetilde{J}$. First of all, we need to show that restrictions of paths

in Q generate $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\widetilde{M}}(\widetilde{M})^*$ as a vector space. Let $\varpi_1, \ldots, \varpi_m$ be a basis of $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\widetilde{M}}(\widetilde{M})$, where $\varpi_i = \sum c_{iq,\tau}(f_{iq}\tau)^*$. Observe that, for some t,

$$\dim \left(\operatorname{span} \left\{ \sum_{f_{i_q}\tau, \ l(\tau) \leqslant t} c_{i_q,\tau} (f_{i_q}\tau)^* \right\} \right) = m,$$

where $l(\tau)$ stands for the length of a path τ . Then, obviously, the linear span of all paths of length not greater than t generates $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\widetilde{M}}(\widetilde{M})^*$.

We see now, that restrictions of paths in Q give a basis of $\Phi_{\widetilde{M}}(\widetilde{M})^*$, but less evident is condition (2).

We construct its J-skeleton inductively. We start by taking, for each $i \in Q_0$, a maximal tuple $f_{iq_1}, \ldots, f_{iq_t}$ with $f_{iq_1}|_N, \ldots, f_{iq_t}|_N$ linearly independent. Further, on each step we add a path τa , where τ is one of the paths we added before, a is an arrow, and $\tau a|_N$ does not lie in the linear span of restrictions of all the preceding paths. We proceed until we obtain a maximal linearly independent set of restrictions Γ . It should be proved, however, that it is a basis of N^* . Let $\tau|_N \notin \text{span }\{\Gamma\}$. If none of its final subpaths restricted to N is in span $\{\Gamma\}$, then we have found f_{lq_l} whose restriction is not in span $\{\Gamma\}$, a contradiction. Thus $\tau = \mu \nu$, where $\mu|_N \in \text{span }\{\Gamma\}$, i.e., $\mu|_N = \sum_{\kappa \in \Gamma} c_\kappa \kappa|_N$. Consequently, $\tau|_N = \sum_{\kappa \in \Gamma} c_\kappa \kappa|_N \nu|_N$. But by maximality of Γ each $\kappa|_N \nu|_N$ is in span $\{\Gamma\}$.

Obviously, $\operatorname{Rep}^s(Q, \alpha, \zeta) = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{S}} \operatorname{Rep}(Q, \mathfrak{S})$, where

$$\operatorname{Rep}(Q,\mathfrak{S}) = \left\{ (M,f) \in \operatorname{Rep}^s(Q^\zeta,\alpha,\zeta) \mid (M,f) \text{ has } J\text{-skeleton }\mathfrak{S} \right\}$$

and $\mathfrak S$ run through all possible J-skeleta for dimension vectors α and ζ . Now note that if $\mathfrak S=\left\{f_{1q_1^{(1)}}\tau_1^{(1)},\ldots,f_{q_{1\alpha_1}}^{(1)}\tau_{\alpha_1}^{(1)},\ldots,f_{nq_1^{(n)}}^{(n)}\tau_1^{(n)},\ldots,f_{q_{n\alpha_n}}^{(n)}\tau_{\alpha_n}^{(n)}\right\}$, then $\operatorname{Rep}(Q,\mathfrak S)$ is exactly the open subset $U_{(\tau_1^{(1)},\ldots,\tau_{\alpha_1}^{(n)};\ldots;\tau_1^{(n)},\ldots,\tau_{\alpha_n}^{(n)})}^{(q_1^{(1)},\ldots,q_{\alpha_n}^{(n)};\ldots;\tau_1^{(n)},\ldots,\tau_{\alpha_n}^{(n)})}$. Observe that there are only finitely many J-skeleta, since lengths of paths in an α -dimensional J-skeleton are bounded by $\max_i \alpha_i$. Therefore, we obtain a finite covering of $\operatorname{Rep}^s(Q,\alpha,\zeta)$ by subsets of form $U_{(\tau_1^{(1)},\ldots,\tau_{\alpha_1}^{(1)};\ldots;\tau_1^{(n)},\ldots,\tau_{\alpha_n}^{(n)})}^{(q_1^{(1)},\ldots,q_{\alpha_1}^{(n)};\ldots;\tau_1^{(n)},\ldots,\tau_{\alpha_n}^{(n)})}$.

3. Embedding of the moduli space

Let $\Gamma(\alpha)$ be the set of all paths occurring in J-skeleta with dimension vector α and $\widetilde{\Gamma}(\alpha)$ be the union of Γ with $\{\tau a \mid \tau \in \Gamma(\alpha), a \in Q_1, h(a) = t(\tau)\}$. Let also $\widehat{J} = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \widetilde{\Gamma}(\alpha)} V_{h(\tau)}^{(\tau)}$. Note that \widehat{J} has a natural Q_0 -grading. Indeed, one may set $\widehat{J}_i = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \widetilde{\Gamma}(\alpha), t(\tau) = i} V_{h(\tau)}^{(\tau)}$. Consider the map $\widehat{\Phi}_{(M,f)}: M \to \widehat{J}$ defined as follows:

$$\widehat{\varphi}_i = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \widetilde{\Gamma}(\alpha)} f_{h(\tau)} \tau : M_i \to \bigoplus_{\tau \in \widetilde{\Gamma}(\alpha), t(\tau) = i} V_{h(\tau)}^{(\tau)} = \widehat{J}_i.$$

It is obvious that a pair (M, f) is stable if and only if $\widehat{\Phi}_{(M, f)}$ is injective. The advantage of $\widehat{\Phi}_{(M, f)}$ is that it maps to a finite dimensional vector space.

We now need to fix notation that will be used throughout the rest of the paper. Let $\widetilde{\Gamma}_i(\alpha)$ be a subset of $\widetilde{\Gamma}(\alpha)$ consisting of paths starting at i. Let further $(B^{(1)},\ldots,B^{(n)})\in\prod_{i=1}^n\operatorname{Mat}_{\left|\widetilde{\Gamma}_i(\alpha)\right|\times\alpha_i}(\Bbbk)$. By definition of $\widetilde{\Gamma}(\alpha)$ rows of $B^{(i)}$ correspond to paths in Q^{ζ} starting at i. So, instead of using numerical indices we denote, for a path τ in Q, the row of $B^{(t(\tau))}$ corresponding to τ by B_{τ} . Let, furthermore, $\mathfrak T$ be a subset of $\widetilde{\Gamma}(\alpha)$ (not necessary a skeleton). Denote by $\mathfrak T_i$ the subset in $\mathfrak T$ consisting of paths starting

at i. Set $\underline{\dim}(\mathfrak{T}) = (|\mathfrak{T}_1|, \dots, |\mathfrak{T}_n|)$. Finally, for a collection \mathfrak{T} with dimensional vector α let $B(\mathfrak{T}_i)$ be the submatrix of $B^{(i)}$ composed of rows corresponding to paths in \mathfrak{T}_i and $U(\mathfrak{T})$ be the open subset in $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Mat}_{|\widetilde{\Gamma}_i(\alpha)| \times \alpha_i}(\mathbb{k})$ consisting of tuples $(B^{(1)}, \dots, B^{(n)})$ with all $B(\mathfrak{T}_i)$ nondegenerate.

For a Q_0 -graded space $W=\bigoplus_{i\in Q_0}W_i$ define $\mathrm{IHom}_{\alpha}(W):=\prod_{i\in Q_0}\mathrm{IHom}_{\alpha_i}(W_i)$, where $\mathrm{IHom}_{\alpha_i}(W_i)$ is the set of all injective linear maps from the vector space \mathbb{k}^{α_i} to W_i . We also define $\mathrm{Gr}_{\alpha}(W)$ as the product of Grassmannians $\prod_{i\in Q_0}\mathrm{Gr}_{\alpha_i}(W_i)$. It is easy to see that $\mathrm{Gr}_{\alpha}(W)$ is a quotient of $\mathrm{IHom}_{\alpha}(W)$ by the natural action of $GL(\alpha)$. We now introduce a map

$$\widehat{\Phi}: \operatorname{Rep}^s(Q, \alpha, \zeta) \to \operatorname{IHom}_{\alpha}(\widehat{J}), \quad (M, f) \mapsto \widehat{\Phi}_{(M, f)}.$$

Identify IHom $_{\alpha}(\widehat{J})$ with an open subset

$$\bigcup_{\mathfrak{T}:\underline{\dim}\mathfrak{T}=\alpha}U(\mathfrak{T})\subseteq\prod_{i=1}^{n}\mathrm{Mat}_{\left|\widetilde{\Gamma}_{i}(\alpha)\right|\times\alpha_{i}}(\Bbbk).$$

It is easy to see that $\operatorname{Im} \widehat{\Phi}$ is contained in

$$Z(\alpha) := \bigcup_{\substack{\mathfrak{S} \text{ is a J-skeleton} \\ \dim(\mathfrak{S}) = \alpha}} U(\mathfrak{S}).$$

Definition. For a *J*-skeleton \mathfrak{S} set $X(\mathfrak{S}) = \operatorname{Im}(\widehat{\Phi}) \cap U(\mathfrak{S})$.

Proposition 3.1. The image of $\widehat{\Phi}$ is a locally closed subvariety in $\operatorname{IHom}_{\alpha}(\widehat{J})$.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that each $X(\mathfrak{S})$ is closed in $U(\mathfrak{S})$. Fix a J-skeleton \mathfrak{S} . For an arrow $a \in Q_1$ set $\mathfrak{S}a = \{\tau a \mid \tau \in \mathfrak{S}, h(a) = t(\tau)\}$. If a tuple of matrices $(B^{(1)}, \ldots, B^{(n)}) \in U(\mathfrak{S})$ is in $\widehat{\Phi}$, we can recover all the maps M_a , $a \in Q_1$ of its inverse image (M, f) since for all $a \in Q_1$: $B(\mathfrak{S}_{ta}a) = B(\mathfrak{S}_{ta})M_a$ and hence $M_a = B(\mathfrak{S}_{ta})^{-1}B(\mathfrak{S}_{ta}a)$. Now observe that $(B^{(1)}, \ldots, B^{(n)})$ belongs to the image of $\widehat{\Phi}$ whenever, for all $\tau \in \Gamma(\alpha)$ and a with $ta = h(\tau)$, $B_{\tau a} = B_{\tau}M_a$. Using the expression received for a, we rewrite this as

$$B_{\tau a} = B_{\tau} B(\mathfrak{S}_{ta})^{-1} B(\mathfrak{S}_{ta}a). \tag{3.1}$$

Collected together, all these equations define a Zarisky closed subvariety $X(\mathfrak{S})$ in $U(\mathfrak{S})$. Gluing them we obtain a closed subvariety $X_0(\alpha) \subseteq Z(\alpha)$ that coincides with $\operatorname{Im} \widehat{\Phi}$. Consequently, $\operatorname{Im} \widehat{\Phi}$ is a locally closed subvariety in $\operatorname{IHom}_{\alpha}(\widehat{J})$.

From the proof of this proposition we infer

Corollary 3.2. The map $\widehat{\Phi}: \operatorname{Rep}^s(Q, \alpha, \zeta) \to \operatorname{Im} \widehat{\Phi} = X_0(\alpha)$ is a $GL(\alpha)$ -equivariant isomorphism of algebraic varieties.

Proof. To construct the inverse morphism we need to find ways of recovering a pair (M, f) possessing its image $(B^{(1)}, \ldots, B^{(n)}) \in \operatorname{IHom}_{\alpha}(\widehat{J})$. But f_{iq} comes as $B_{f_{iq}}$ and $M_a = B(\mathfrak{S}_{ta})^{-1}B(\mathfrak{S}_{ta}a)$ in $U(\mathfrak{S})$ while on intersections $U(\mathfrak{S}) \cap U(\mathfrak{T})$ the equality $B(\mathfrak{S}_{ta})^{-1}B(\mathfrak{S}_{ta}a) = B(\mathfrak{T}_{ta})^{-1}B(\mathfrak{T}_{ta}a)$ is a direct consequence of the equations defining X_0 .

The equivariance of this isomorphism is obvious.

From now on we will identify each $X(\mathfrak{S})\subseteq \mathrm{IHom}_{\alpha}(\widehat{J})$ with its preimage $\mathrm{Rep}(Q,\mathfrak{S})=\widehat{\Phi}^{-1}(X(\mathfrak{S}))\subseteq \mathrm{Rep}^s(q,\alpha,\zeta)$ and treat it as a subset in $\mathrm{Rep}^s(Q,\alpha,\zeta)$ whenever needed.

Theorem 3.3. In the notation given above

(1) We have

$$\operatorname{Rep}^{s}(Q, \alpha, \zeta) = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{S} \text{ is a } J\text{-skeleton}} X(\mathfrak{S}),$$

where $X(\mathfrak{S})$ are Zariski open subsets of $\operatorname{Rep}^s(Q, \alpha, \zeta)$ such that $X(\mathfrak{S}) \cong \operatorname{GL}(\alpha) \times \mathbb{A}^N$, for some positive integer N, and the restriction to $X(\mathfrak{S})$ of the quotient map is the projection onto the second factor. In particular,

$$\mathcal{M}^{s}(Q,\alpha,\zeta) = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{S} \text{ is a } J\text{-skeleton}} X(\mathfrak{S}) /\!\!/ GL(\alpha)$$

is a covering by open subspaces isomorphic to affine spaces.

(2) The quotient space $\mathcal{M}^s(Q,\alpha,\zeta)$ is isomorphic to a locally closed subvariety in $Gr_{\alpha}(\widehat{J})$.

Proof. Again it will be convenient for us to view $\operatorname{IHom}_{\alpha}(\widehat{J})$ as a Zariski open subset in $\prod_{i \in Q_0} \operatorname{Mat}_{|\widetilde{\Gamma}_i(\alpha)| \times \alpha_i}(\Bbbk)$. Fix a J-skeleton \mathfrak{S} and assume that its elements are somehow ordered (for example, lexicographically). For a tuple of matrices $B = (B^{(1)}, \dots, B^{(n)})$ define $B_{[\mathfrak{S}]}$ as the n-tuple of matrices with $B_{[\mathfrak{S}]}^{(i)}$ a submatrix of $B^{(i)}$ consisting of all the rows of all $B(\mathfrak{S}a)$, for $a \in Q_1$ with t(a) = i, that do not occur in $B(\mathfrak{S}_i)$. Also denote by $B_{\mathfrak{S}}$ a tuple of matrices with $B_{\mathfrak{S}}^{(i)}$ obtained as a union of $B_{[\mathfrak{S}]}^{(i)}$ with all $B_{f_{ik_i}}$, for $f_{ik_i} \notin \mathfrak{S}$. Denote by T_i the number of rows in $B_{[\mathfrak{S}]}^{(i)}$.

Consider the morphism $\pi_{\mathfrak{S}}: \prod_{i \in Q_0} \operatorname{Mat}_{|\widetilde{\Gamma}_i(\alpha)| \times \alpha_i}(\mathbb{k}) \to \prod_{i \in Q_0} \operatorname{Mat}_{T_i \times \alpha_i}(\mathbb{k})$ defined by $B^{(i)} \mapsto (B^{(i)} \cdot B(\mathfrak{S}_i)^{-1})_{\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}}$. We claim that it provides the quotient morphism for the action of $GL(\alpha)$ on $U(\mathfrak{S})$.

First of all, $\pi_{\mathfrak{S}}$ is $GL(\alpha)$ -invariant, since, for $g \in GL(\alpha)$, we have $\pi_{\mathfrak{S}}(g \cdot B)^{(i)} = \pi_{\mathfrak{S}}(Bg^{-1})^{(i)} = (B^{(i)}g_i^{-1} \cdot g_iB(\mathfrak{S}_i)^{-1})_{\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}} = \pi_{\mathfrak{S}}(B_i)$. We now prove that $\pi_{\mathfrak{S}}$ is surjective. Let $C \in \prod_{i \in Q_0} \operatorname{Mat}_{T_i \times \alpha_i}(\mathbb{k})$. Recall that each row of each $C^{(i)}$ corresponds to a path from $\bigcup_{a \in Q_1} (\mathfrak{S}_a \setminus \mathfrak{S}) \cup \{f_i \notin \mathfrak{S}\}$. Take an identity $\alpha_i \times \alpha_i$ -matrix $E^{(i)}$ and put its j-th row into correspondence with the j-th path from \mathfrak{S}_i (with respect to the order we introduced at the beginning of the proof). Now, add to $C^{(i)}$ all the rows of $E^{(i)}$ corresponding to paths from $\mathfrak{S} \cap (\bigcup_{a \in Q_1, ta=i} \mathfrak{S}_a)$ and denote the matrix received by $\widetilde{C}^{(i)}$. The first step will be now to recover a stable pair (M^C, f^C) , then we will use it to obtain a matrix in $\pi_{\mathfrak{S}}^{-1}(C)$. Put $M_a^C = \widetilde{C}(\mathfrak{S}_a)$, for all $a \in Q_1$, and

$$f_i^C = \begin{cases} E_{f_i}^{(i)}, & \text{if } f_i \in \mathfrak{S}, \\ C_{f_i}^{(i)}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Finally, set $B^C = \Phi_{(M^C, f^C)}$. One should show now that $\pi_{\mathfrak{S}}(B^C) = C$. But $B(\mathfrak{S}_i) = E^{(i)}$, so $B(\mathfrak{S}_a) = B(\mathfrak{S})a = M_a = \widetilde{C}(\mathfrak{S}_a)$, for all $a \in Q_1$, implying that $\pi_{\mathfrak{S}}(B)_{[\mathfrak{S}]} = B_{[\mathfrak{S}]} = C_{[\mathfrak{S}]}$, for $a \in Q_1$. Analogously, $B_{f_i}^{(i)} = C_{f_i}^{(i)}$, for all $f_i \notin \mathfrak{S}$. Thus, $\pi_{\mathfrak{S}}(B) = C$ and the surjectivity is proven.

Now we should show that fibers of $\pi_{\mathfrak{S}}$ coincide with $GL(\alpha)$ -orbits in $U(\mathfrak{S})$. Observe, that for the above constructed $B^C \in \pi_{\mathfrak{S}}^{-1}(C)$ we have $\left((B^C)^{(i)} \cdot B^C(\mathfrak{S}_i)^{-1}\right)(\mathfrak{S}) = E^{(i)}$, an identity matrix. But it is easy to see that a $GL(\alpha)$ -orbit in $U(\mathfrak{S})$ contains only one tuple of matrices with this property. So, any $B \in \pi_{\mathfrak{S}}^{-1}(C)$ equals $g(B) \cdot B^C$, where $g(B)_i = B(\mathfrak{S}_i)$.

An isomorphism $GL(\alpha) \times \prod_{i \in Q_0} \operatorname{Mat}_{T_i \times \alpha_i}(\mathbb{k}) \to X(\mathfrak{S})$ is therefore obtained by sending a pair (g, C) to $g \cdot B^C = ((B^C)^{(i)}g_i^{-1})_{i \in Q_0}$.

To prove the second part it is sufficient to check that each $X(\mathfrak{S})/\!\!/GL(\alpha)$ embeds into $Gr(\mathfrak{S}) := U(\mathfrak{S})/\!\!/GL(\alpha)$ as a locally closed subvariety. Observe that the natural projection $\pi_0 : U(\mathfrak{S}) \twoheadrightarrow Gr(\mathfrak{S})$ may be viewed as the map $\prod_{i \in Q_0} \operatorname{Mat}_{|\widetilde{\Gamma}_i(\alpha)| \times \alpha_i}(\mathbb{k}) \to \prod_{i \in Q_0} \operatorname{Mat}_{|\widetilde{\Gamma}_i(\alpha)| - \alpha_i) \times \alpha_i}(\mathbb{k}), B \mapsto (B^{(i)} \cdot B(\mathfrak{S}_i)^{-1})_{\Gamma(\alpha) \setminus \mathfrak{S}}$. Hence $X(\mathfrak{S})/\!\!/GL(\alpha)$ is isomorphic to a subvariety in $Gr(\mathfrak{S})$ defined by equations

$$C_{\tau a} = C_{\tau} C(\mathfrak{S}_{ta} a),$$

for all paths τ and arrows a with $\tau a \notin (\bigcup_{a \in Q_1, ta=i} \mathfrak{S} a)$.

Corollary 3.4. In the settings of Theorem 1 we have the following

- (1) Each stable pair (M, f) possesses a finite family of normal forms, each normal form corresponding to a J-skeleton of (M, f). If \mathfrak{S} is a J-skeleton of (M, f), then the respective normal form of (M, f) is (M^C, f^C) , where $C = \pi_{\mathfrak{S}}(M, f)$.
- (2) The following procedure may be used to determine whether two stable pair (M, f) and (M', f') are isomorphic.
 - (a) Compute tuples of matrices B and B' corresponding to (M, f) and (M', f').
 - (b) Find their J-skeleta by seeking non-degenerate maximal minors of B and B'.
 - (c) If they have no common J-skeleta, the pairs are not isomorphic.
 - (d) If \mathfrak{S} a common J-skeleton, compute (M^C, f^C) and $(M^{C'}, f^{C'})$ for $C = \pi_{\mathfrak{S}}(B)$ and $C' = \pi_{\mathfrak{S}}(B')$.
 - (e) The pairs (M, f) and (M', f') are isomorphic if and only if $(M^C, f^C) = (M^{C'}, f^{C'})$.

Remark 3.5. Dimensions of the affine spaces covering the quotient space equal the dimension of the quotient itself, i.e., the difference $\dim \operatorname{Rep}(Q, \alpha, \zeta) - \dim \operatorname{GL}(\alpha)$. For $Q = L_{q,k}$ we have $\dim X(\mathfrak{S}) /\!\!/ \operatorname{GL}_m = \dim \operatorname{Rep}(L_q, m, k) - \dim \operatorname{GL}_m = (m^2q + mk) - m^2 = m(mq + k - m)$, so that $X(\mathfrak{S}) /\!\!/ \operatorname{GL}_m \cong \mathbb{A}^{m(mq+k-m)}$.

We have described affine charts covering the quotient. Since all of them are affine spaces, on each we obtain a convenient system of local coordinates. The transition functions between these coordinates may be described in the following way. Let \mathfrak{S} and \mathfrak{T} be two J-skeleta, and $B \in \prod_{i \in Q_0} \operatorname{Mat}_{|\widetilde{\Gamma}_i(\alpha)| \times \alpha_i}(\mathbb{k})$ be a matrix representing a point in $\operatorname{Rep}^s(Q, \alpha, \zeta)$. Then we may express B in matrix elements of $(B^{(i)} \cdot B(\mathfrak{S}_i)^{-1})_{\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}}$, for $i \in Q_0$, and further obtain the expression for $(B^{(j)} \cdot B(\mathfrak{T}_j)^{-1})_{\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}}$.

The same procedure may be used to establish relations between normal forms of a stable pair constructed using different J-skeleta.

4. Examples

Let Q be the quiver L_q and $\alpha = (m)$. It is easy to see that every J-skeleton that may occur in this case is a subset of $\{f_iW(a_1,\ldots,a_q)\mid W \text{ is a word in } a_i \text{ of length at most } m-1\}$, so we set

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}(m) = \{f_i W(a_1, \dots, a_q) \mid W \text{ is a word in } a_j \text{ of length at most } m\},$$

$$\widehat{J} = \bigoplus_{i,W,length(W) \leqslant m} \Bbbk u^{i,W}$$
 and $\varphi_{i,W}(m) = f_i W(a_1,\ldots,a_q) m$.

Example 4.1. Let q = k = 1. This encodes the problem of classifying pairs (a, f), where a is a linear operator on \mathbb{k}^m and f is a linear function. The extended quiver $L_1^{(1)} = L_{1,1}$ is

$$a \bigcap 1 \xrightarrow{f} \infty$$

There is only one *J*-skeleton, namely $\mathfrak{S} = \{f, fa, \dots, fa^{m-1}\}$. Therefore, $\widetilde{\Gamma}(m) = \{f, fa, \dots, fa^m\}$ and $\widehat{J} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^m V_1^{(fa^i)}$, where $V_1 = \mathbb{k}$, so that $\widehat{J} = \mathbb{k}^{m+1}$ as a vector space. Furthermore, we have

$$\widehat{\Phi}: \operatorname{Rep}(L_1, m, 1) \to \operatorname{Mat}_{(m+1) \times m}(\mathbb{k}), \quad (a, f) \mapsto \widehat{\Phi}_{(a, f)} = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ fa \\ \vdots \\ fa^m \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can easily see that the stability condition (that is injectivity of $\widehat{\Phi}_{(a,f)}$) is in this case equivalent to f being a cyclic vector for the natural action $GL_m:(\mathbb{k}^m)^*$. The subset $X(\mathfrak{S})\subseteq \operatorname{Mat}_{(m+1)\times m}(\mathbb{k})$ coincides with $U(\mathfrak{S})$, i.e., consists of matrices $B=(b_{ij})$ with first m rows linear independent. Indeed, the only condition $B_{fa^m}=B_{fa^{m-1}}B(\mathfrak{S})^{-1}B(\mathfrak{S}a)$ holds identically. Next, for a $(m+1)\times m$ -matrix A we have $A_{\mathfrak{S}}=A_{fa^m}$, hence the quotient map $\pi_{\mathfrak{S}}$ sends

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{S}}: B = (b_{ij}) \mapsto (b_{m+1,1}, \dots, b_{m+1,m}) \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & \dots & b_{1m} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{m1} & \dots & b_{mm} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

The quotient is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^m and $\pi_{\mathfrak{S}}$ admits a section $\mathbb{A}^m \to \operatorname{Rep}(L_1, m, 1)$ sending $C = (c_0, \dots, c_{m-1})$ to the pair

$$f^{C} = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \qquad a^{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ c_{0} & c_{1} & \dots & c_{m-2} & c_{m-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where c_i are the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of a.

Example 4.2. Let q=1, m=k=2. The corresponding extended quiver $L_1^{(2)}=L_{1,2}$ is

$$a \bigcap 1 \underbrace{\bigcap_{f_2}^{f_1}} \infty$$

There are three possible *J*-skeleta: $\mathfrak{S}_1 = \{f_1, f_1a\}, \ \mathfrak{S}_2 = \{f_2, f_2a\}, \ \mathfrak{S}_3 = \{f_1, f_2\}.$ Hence, $\widetilde{\Gamma}(m) = \{f_1, f_2, f_1a, f_2a, f_1a^2, f_2a^2\}$, so that

$$\widehat{\Phi}: \operatorname{Rep}(L_1, 2, 2) \to \operatorname{Mat}_{6 \times 2}(\mathbb{k}), \quad (a, f_1, f_2) \mapsto \widehat{\Phi}_{(a, f_1, f_2)} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_1 a \\ f_2 a \\ f_1 a^2 \\ f_2 a^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, $\widehat{J} = \mathbb{k}^6$.

First of all we describe the subset $\operatorname{Im} \widehat{\Phi} = X(\mathfrak{S}_1) \cup X(\mathfrak{S}_2) \cup X(\mathfrak{S}_3) \subseteq \operatorname{Mat}_{6\times 2}(\Bbbk)$. The chart $X(\mathfrak{S}_1)$ consists of 6×2 -matrices $B = (b_{ij})$ with $|B(\mathfrak{S}_1)| = \begin{vmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$ satisfying conditions $B_{f_2a} = B_{f_2}B(\mathfrak{S}_1)^{-1}B(\mathfrak{S}_1a)$ and $B_{f_2a^2} = B_{f_2a}B(\mathfrak{S}_1)^{-1}B(\mathfrak{S}_1a)$, that may be expanded as

$$(b_{41}, b_{42}) = (b_{21}, b_{22}) \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} b_{31} & b_{32} \\ b_{51} & b_{52} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(b_{61}, b_{62}) = (b_{41}, b_{42}) \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} b_{31} & b_{32} \\ b_{51} & b_{52} \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively. Similarly we find that $X(\mathfrak{S}_2) \subseteq \operatorname{Mat}_{6\times 2(\mathbb{k})}$ consists of matrices $B=(b_{ij})$ with $|B(\mathfrak{S}_2)| = \begin{vmatrix} b_{21} & b_{22} \\ b_{41} & b_{42} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_{31} & b_{32} \\ b_{51} & b_{52} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{21} & b_{22} \\ b_{41} & b_{42} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} b_{41} & b_{42} \\ b_{61} & b_{62} \end{pmatrix} .$$

Finally, $X(\mathfrak{S}_3) \subseteq \operatorname{Mat}_{6\times 2}(\mathbb{k})$ is a subset consisting of matrices $B = (b_{ij})$ satisfying $B(\mathfrak{S}_3) = \begin{vmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_{51} & b_{52} \\ b_{61} & b_{62} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{31} & b_{32} \\ b_{41} & b_{42} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} b_{31} & b_{32} \\ b_{41} & b_{42} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, we write down the quotient maps $\pi_{\mathfrak{S}_i} : \operatorname{Rep}(L_1, \mathfrak{S}_i) \cong X(\mathfrak{S}_i) \to \operatorname{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{k});$

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{S}_{1}}: (a, f_{1}, f_{2}) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} f_{2} \\ f_{1}a^{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_{1} \\ f_{1}a \end{pmatrix}^{-1}, \ B = (b_{ij}) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} b_{31} & b_{32} \\ b_{51} & b_{52} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} \end{pmatrix}^{-1};$$

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{S}_{2}}: (a, f_{1}, f_{2}) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} f_{1} \\ f_{2}a^{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_{2} \\ f_{2}a \end{pmatrix}^{-1}, \ B = (b_{ij}) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} b_{41} & b_{42} \\ b_{61} & b_{62} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{21} & b_{22} \\ b_{41} & b_{42} \end{pmatrix}^{-1};$$

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{S}_{3}}: (a, f_{1}, f_{2}) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} f_{1}a \\ f_{2}a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_{1} \\ f_{2} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}, \ B = (b_{ij}) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} b_{31} & b_{32} \\ b_{41} & b_{42} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

Each $\pi_{\mathfrak{S}_i}$ admits a section $\operatorname{Mat}_{2\times 2}(\Bbbk) \to \operatorname{Rep}(L_1,\mathfrak{S}_i)$ sending $C=(x_{ab}^{(i)})$ to the triple (a^C,f_1^C,f_2^C) with

$$a^{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ x_{21}^{(1)} & x_{22}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}, \ f_{1}^{C} = (1,0), \ f_{2}^{C} = (x_{11}^{(1)}, x_{12}^{(1)}), \text{ for } i = 1$$
 (4.1)

$$a^{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ x_{21}^{(2)} & x_{22}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \ f_{1}^{C} = (x_{11}^{(2)}, x_{12}^{(2)}), \ f_{2}^{C} = (1, 0), \text{ for } i = 2$$

$$(4.2)$$

$$a^{C} = C = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11}^{(1)} & x_{12}^{(1)} \\ x_{21}^{(1)} & x_{22}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}, \ f_{1}^{C} = (1,0), \ f_{2}^{C} = (0,1), \ \text{for } i = 3.$$
 (4.3)

Now, if a triple $T:=(a,f_1,f_2)$ belongs to $\operatorname{Rep}(Q,\mathfrak{S}_i)\cap\operatorname{Rep}(Q,\mathfrak{S}_j)$, for some $i\neq j$, we obtain two normal forms $(a^{\pi_{\mathfrak{S}_i}(T)},f_1^{\pi_{\mathfrak{S}_i}(T)},f_2^{\pi_{\mathfrak{S}_i}(T)})$ and $(a^{\pi_{\mathfrak{S}_j}(T)},f_1^{\pi_{\mathfrak{S}_j}(T)},f_2^{\pi_{\mathfrak{S}_j}(T)})$ corresponding to 2×2 -matrices $\pi_{\mathfrak{S}_i}(T)=(x_{ab}^{(i)})$ and $\pi_{\mathfrak{S}_j}(T)=(x_{ab}^{(j)})$. One easily computes that

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{11}^{(1)} & x_{12}^{(1)} \\ x_{21}^{(1)} & x_{22}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_2 \\ f_1 a^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_1 a \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{x_{11}^{(3)}}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ x_{12}^{(3)} & x_{12}^{(3)} & x_{12}^{(3)} \\ x_{12}^{(3)} & x_{21}^{(3)} - x_{11}^{(3)} x_{22}^{(3)} & x_{11}^{(3)} + x_{22}^{(3)} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{11}^{(3)} & x_{12}^{(3)} \\ x_{21}^{(3)} & x_{22}^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{x_{11}^{(1)}}{x_{12}^{(1)}} & \frac{1}{x_{12}^{(1)}} \\ \frac{(x_{12}^{(1)})^2 x_{21}^{(1)} - (x_{11}^{(1)})^2 - x_{11}^{(1)} x_{12}^{(1)} & \frac{1}{x_{12}^{(1)}} \\ \frac{x_{12}^{(1)}}{x_{12}^{(1)}} & \frac{x_{11}^{(1)} + x_{12}^{(1)} x_{22}^{(1)}}{x_{12}^{(1)}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{11}^{(2)} & x_{12}^{(2)} \\ x_{21}^{(2)} & x_{22}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{x_{11}^{(1)} + x_{12}^{(1)} x_{22}^{(1)}}{(x_{12}^{(1)})^2 x_{21}^{(1)} - (x_{11}^{(1)})^2 - x_{11}^{(1)} x_{12}^{(1)} x_{22}^{(1)}} & \frac{x_{12}^{(1)}}{(x_{12}^{(1)})^2 x_{21}^{(1)} - (x_{11}^{(1)})^2 - x_{11}^{(1)} x_{12}^{(1)} x_{22}^{(1)}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We return for a while to the case of arbitrary m, q and k. Since the quotient is embedded into $\operatorname{Gr}_m(\widehat{J})$, we need to introduce a connection between local coordinates on $Y(\mathfrak{S}) := X(\mathfrak{S})/\!\!/ GL(\alpha)$ and Plücker coordinates on $\operatorname{Gr}_m(\widehat{J})$. Observe that the latter are of the form $p_{\mathfrak{R}}$, for all subsets $\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \widetilde{\Gamma}(m)$ of cardinality m. Indeed, the natural projection $\operatorname{Mat}_{k(m+1)^q \times m}(\Bbbk) \supseteq \operatorname{IHom}_m(\widehat{J}) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_m(\widehat{J})$ maps a matrix B to a point ω_B , whose Plücker coordinates are $m \times m$ -minors of B. So, we denote by $p_{\mathfrak{R}}$ the coordinate with corresponding minor consisting of rows prescribed by \mathfrak{R} . As for the local coordinates on $Y(\mathfrak{S})$, their definition together with Cramer's rule imply that they are indexed by m-element subsets \mathfrak{R} in $\widetilde{\Gamma}(m)$ that may be obtained from \mathfrak{S} by replacement of one of its elements by an element of $(\mathfrak{S}a\backslash\mathfrak{S}) \cup \{f_i \notin \mathfrak{S}\}$.

In Example 4.2, we have $\operatorname{Gr}_m(\widehat{J}) = \operatorname{Gr}_2(\Bbbk^6)$. As it was suggested before, we index Plücker coordinates by pairs of paths. For instance, p_{f_2,f_1a^2} stands for p_{25} . For a point ω_B corresponding to a rank m matrix $B \in \operatorname{Mat}_{6\times 2}(\Bbbk)$ it comes as $\left| b_{1} \atop b_{1} \atop b_{1} \atop b_{2} \atop b_{1} \atop b_{2} \right|$, and this equals $\left| f_{1} \atop f_{1}a^{2} \right|$ if $(b_{ij}) = \widehat{\Phi}((a,f_1,f_2))$. We obtain the expressions

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{11}^{(1)} & x_{12}^{(1)} \\ x_{21}^{(1)} & x_{22}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p_{f_2,f_1a}}{p_{f_1,f_1a}} & -\frac{p_{f_1,f_2}}{p_{f_1,f_1a}} \\ -\frac{p_{f_1a,f_1a^2}}{p_{f_1,f_1a}} & \frac{p_{f_1,f_1a^2}}{p_{f_1,f_1a}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} x_{11}^{(2)} & x_{12}^{(2)} \\ x_{21}^{(2)} & x_{22}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p_{f_1,f_2a}}{p_{f_2,f_2a}} & -\frac{p_{f_1,f_2}}{p_{f_2,f_2a}} \\ -\frac{p_{f_2a,f_2a^2}}{p_{f_2,f_2a}} & \frac{p_{f_2,f_2a^2}}{p_{f_2,f_2a}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{11}^{(3)} & x_{12}^{(3)} \\ x_{21}^{(3)} & x_{22}^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{p_{f_2,f_1a}}{p_{f_1,f_2}} & \frac{p_{f_1,f_1a}}{p_{f_1,f_2}} \\ -\frac{p_{f_2,f_2a}}{p_{f_1,f_2}} & \frac{p_{f_1,f_2a}}{p_{f_1,f_2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, we determine the equations in Plücker coordinates that define the closure of the quotient in $\operatorname{Gr}_2(\widehat{J})$ in Example 4.2. First of all, there are Plücker relations. We also have the following equations coming from transition relations between $x_{ab}^{(i)}$ and $x_{cd}^{(j)}$

$$\begin{split} p_{f_1a,f_1a^2}p_{f_2,f_2a} &= p_{f_2a,f_2a^2}p_{f_1,f_1a}, \quad p_{f_1,f_1a^2}p_{f_2,f_2a} = p_{f_2,f_2a^2}p_{f_1,f_1a}, \\ p_{f_1a,f_1a^2}p_{f_1,f_2}^2 &= p_{f_1,f_1a}(p_{f_1,f_1a}p_{f_2,f_2a} - p_{f_2,f_1a}p_{f_1,f_2a}), \\ p_{f_2a,f_2a^2}p_{f_1,f_2}^2 &= p_{f_2,f_2a}(p_{f_1,f_1a}p_{f_2,f_2a} - p_{f_2,f_1a}p_{f_1,f_2a}), \\ p_{f_1,f_1a^2}p_{f_1,f_2} &= p_{f_1,f_1a}(p_{f_1,f_2a} - p_{f_2,f_1a}), \\ p_{f_2,f_2a^2}p_{f_1,f_2} &= p_{f_2,f_2a}(p_{f_1,f_2a} - p_{f_2,f_1a}). \end{split}$$

As we see, not all $p_{\mathfrak{R}}$, $\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \widetilde{\Gamma}(m)$, occur as numerators of local coordinates on the quotient. Those that do not occur we will call *exceed* and others *essential*. We claim that exceed homogeneous coordinates may be eliminated, i.e., that we are able to express them as polynomials in local coordinates in each affine chart. Indeed, we may express f_i and a_j , and then compute all maximal minors of the matrix of $\Phi_{(a,f)}$. For instance, we have

$$\frac{p_{f_1a,f_2a}}{p_{f_1,f_1a}} = \frac{p_{f_1,f_2}p_{f_1a,f_1a^2}}{p_{f_1,f_1a^2}^2}, \qquad \frac{p_{f_1a,f_2a}}{p_{f_2,f_2a}} = \frac{p_{f_1,f_2}p_{f_2a,f_2a^2}}{p_{f_2,f_2a^2}^2},$$

$$\frac{p_{f_1,f_2a}}{p_{f_1,f_2}} = \frac{p_{f_1,f_1a}p_{f_2,f_2a} - p_{f_1,f_2a}p_{f_1a,f_2}}{p_{f_1,f_2}^2},$$

$$\frac{p_{f_1,f_2a^2}}{p_{f_1,f_1a}} = \frac{p_{f_1,f_2}p_{f_1a,f_1a^2}p_{f_1,f_1a} + p_{f_2,f_1a}p_{f_1,f_1a^2}p_{f_1,f_1a} - p_{f_1,f_2}p_{f_1,f_1a^2}^2}{p_{f_1,f_1a}^3},$$

$$\frac{p_{f_1,f_2a^2}}{p_{f_1,f_2}} = \frac{p_{f_1,f_2a}^2 - p_{f_1,f_1a}p_{f_2,f_2a}}{p_{f_1,f_2}^2}.$$

Returning back to the general case, we may consider an obvious projection-like map $\mathcal{M}^s(L_q, m, k) \to \mathbb{P}^N$, where N+1 is the number of non-exceed coordinates. Clearly it is well defined. Denote by \widehat{Y}_0 the image of the quotient in \mathbb{P}^N . We claim now that the closure \widehat{Y} of \widehat{Y}_0 (or at least something containing \widehat{Y} as an irreducible component) is defined in \mathbb{P}^N solely by the equations that come from transition relations on the quotient, i.e., that Plücker equations are no more required. The reason is that on each affine chart $Y(\mathfrak{S})$, where \mathfrak{S} is a J-skeleton, we can express all non-exceed coordinates $p_{\mathfrak{R}}$ in local coordinates of $Y(\mathfrak{S})$ using only this kind of equations, and since $Y(\mathfrak{S})$ is an affine space, these local coordinates are algebraically independent. So, no additional equations are needed.

In the case q = 1, m = k = 2 we have 15 Plücker coordinates, only 9 of them are essential and other 6 are exceed. So, the quotient may be embedded as a locally closed subset into \mathbb{P}^8 .

Example 4.3. Let q = m = 2, k = 1. Denote the loops by a and b. There are then two possible J-skeleta: $\mathfrak{S}_1 = \{f, fa\}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_2 = \{f, fb\}$. Hence $\widetilde{\Gamma}(m) = \{f, fa, fa^2, fb, fab, fba, fb^2\}$ (we fix this order of paths and construct the map $\widehat{\Phi}$ according to it) and $\widehat{J} = \mathbb{k}^7$.

It is not hard to see that

$$X(\mathfrak{S}_{1}) = \left\{ B \in \operatorname{Mat}_{7 \times 2}(\mathbb{k}) \middle| \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0, \\ \left(b_{61} & b_{62}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} b_{31} & b_{32} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} b_{21} & b_{22} \\ b_{41} & b_{42} \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ \left(b_{71} & b_{72}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} b_{31} & b_{32} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} b_{31} & b_{32} \\ b_{51} & b_{52} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

and

$$X(\mathfrak{S}_{2}) = \left\{ B \in \operatorname{Mat}_{7 \times 2}(\mathbb{k}) \middle| \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0, \\ (b_{41} & b_{42}) = \begin{pmatrix} b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} b_{21} & b_{22} \\ b_{61} & b_{62} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

The quotient maps are

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{S}_{1}}: (a,b,f) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} fa^{2} \\ fb \\ fab \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ fa \end{pmatrix}^{-1}, \ B = (b_{ij}) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} b_{31} & b_{32} \\ b_{41} & b_{42} \\ b_{51} & b_{52} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix}^{-1},$$

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{S}_{2}}: (a,b,f) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} fa \\ fba \\ fb^{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ fb \end{pmatrix}^{-1}, \ B = (b_{ij}) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} b_{21} & b_{22} \\ b_{61} & b_{62} \\ b_{71} & b_{72} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} \end{pmatrix}^{-1},$$

so that $X(\mathfrak{S}_1)/\!\!/ \operatorname{GL}(\alpha) \cong X(\mathfrak{S}_1)/\!\!/ \operatorname{GL}(\alpha) \cong \operatorname{Mat}_{3\times 2}(\Bbbk) \cong \mathbb{A}^6$. The sections $s_i : \operatorname{Mat}_{3\times 2}(\Bbbk) \to X(\mathfrak{S}_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Rep}(Q, \mathfrak{S}_i)$ are as follows:

$$\mathfrak{s}_{1}:\begin{pmatrix}x_{11}^{(1)} & x_{12}^{(1)} \\ x_{21}^{(1)} & x_{22}^{(1)} \\ x_{31}^{(1)} & x_{32}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1 \\ x_{11}^{(1)} & x_{12}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}x_{21}^{(1)} & x_{22}^{(1)} \\ x_{31}^{(1)} & x_{32}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\end{pmatrix}\right),$$

$$\mathfrak{s}_{2}:\begin{pmatrix}x_{11}^{(2)} & x_{12}^{(2)} \\ x_{21}^{(2)} & x_{22}^{(2)} \\ x_{31}^{(2)} & x_{32}^{(2)}\end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}x_{11}^{(2)} & x_{12}^{(2)} \\ x_{21}^{(2)} & x_{22}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0 & 1 \\ x_{31}^{(2)} & x_{32}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\end{pmatrix}\end{pmatrix},$$

with transition functions

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{11}^{(1)} & x_{12}^{(1)} \\ x_{21}^{(1)} & x_{12}^{(1)} \\ x_{21}^{(1)} & x_{22}^{(1)} \\ x_{31}^{(1)} & x_{32}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{12}^{(2)} x_{21}^{(2)} - x_{11}^{(2)} x_{22}^{(2)} & x_{11}^{(2)} + x_{22}^{(2)} \\ -\frac{x_{11}^{(2)}}{x_{12}^{(2)}} & \frac{1}{x_{12}^{(2)}} \\ \frac{x_{12}^{(2)} x_{31}^{(2)} - (x_{11}^{(2)})^2 - x_{11}^{(2)} x_{12}^{(2)} x_{32}^{(2)} & \frac{x_{11}^{(2)} + x_{12}^{(2)} x_{32}^{(2)}}{x_{12}^{(2)}} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{11}^{(2)} & x_{12}^{(2)} \\ x_{21}^{(2)} & x_{22}^{(2)} \\ x_{31}^{(2)} & x_{32}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{x_{21}^{(1)}}{x_{22}^{(2)}} & \frac{1}{x_{21}^{(1)}} \\ \frac{(x_{22}^{(1)})^2 x_{11}^{(1)} - (x_{21}^{(1)})^2 - x_{12}^{(1)} x_{22}^{(1)} x_{21}^{(1)} & \frac{x_{21}^{(1)} + x_{12}^{(1)} x_{22}^{(1)}}{x_{22}^{(1)}} \\ \frac{x_{21}^{(1)} + x_{22}^{(1)} x_{22}^{(1)} - x_{21}^{(1)} x_{22}^{(1)} & \frac{x_{21}^{(1)} + x_{12}^{(1)} x_{22}^{(1)}}{x_{22}^{(1)}} \\ \frac{x_{22}^{(1)} x_{31}^{(1)} - x_{21}^{(1)} x_{32}^{(1)}}{x_{32}^{(1)}} & \frac{x_{21}^{(1)} + x_{12}^{(1)} x_{22}^{(1)}}{x_{22}^{(1)}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Having

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{11}^{(1)} & x_{12}^{(1)} \\ x_{21}^{(1)} & x_{22}^{(1)} \\ x_{31}^{(1)} & x_{32}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{p_{fa,fa^2}}{p_{f,fa}} & \frac{p_{f,fa^2}}{p_{f,fa}} \\ -\frac{p_{fa,fb}}{p_{f,fa}} & \frac{p_{f,fb}}{p_{f,fa}} \\ -\frac{p_{fa,fab}}{p_{f,fa}} & \frac{p_{f,fa}}{p_{f,fa}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} x_{11}^{(2)} & x_{12}^{(2)} \\ x_{21}^{(2)} & x_{22}^{(2)} \\ x_{31}^{(2)} & x_{32}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p_{fa,fb}}{p_{f,fb}} & \frac{p_{f,fa}}{p_{f,fb}} \\ -\frac{p_{fb,fba}}{p_{f,fb}} & \frac{p_{f,fa}}{p_{f,fb}} \\ -\frac{p_{fb,fb^2}}{p_{f,fb}} & \frac{p_{f,fb}}{p_{f,fb}} \end{pmatrix},$$

we obtain the following set of equations

$$\begin{aligned} p_{fa,fa^2} p_{f,fb}^2 + p_{f,fa} (p_{fa,fb} p_{f,fba} + p_{f,fa} p_{fb,fba}) &= 0, \\ p_{fb,fb^2} p_{f,fa}^2 - p_{f,fa} (p_{f,fb} p_{fa,fab} - p_{fa,fb} p_{f,fab}) &= 0, \\ p_{f,fa^2} p_{f,fb} - p_{f,fa} (p_{fa,fb} + p_{f,fba}) &= 0, \\ p_{f,fb^2} p_{f,fa} - p_{f,fb} (p_{f,fab} - p_{fa,fb}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, out of 21 possible coordinates only 11 are essential (i.e., occur as numerators of local coordinates on the quotient) and other 10 ones are exceed. Therefore, the quotient is a locally closed subset in \mathbb{P}^{10} obtained by intersection of nonzero loci of $p_{f,fa}$ and $p_{f,fb}$ with a closed subset defined by the above equations. In particular, \hat{Y} is a complete intersection.

References

- [1] W. Baur, Decidability and undecidability of theories of abelian groups with predicates for subgroups, Compositio Math. 31, 1975, 23-30
- [2] J. Engel, M. Reineke, Smooth models of quiver moduli, Math. Z. 262, 2009, 817-848
- [3] S. Fedotov, Framed moduli and Grassmannians of submodules, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., arXiv:1010.4761v2
- [4] B. Huisgen-Zimmermann, Classifying representations by way of Grassmannians, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359, 2007, 2687-2719.
- [5] A. D. King, Moduli of representations of finite-dimensional algebras, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 45(180), 1994, 515-530.
- [6] A.I. Kokorin, V.I. Mart'yanov, Universal extended theories, Algebra, Irkutsk., 1973, 107-114
- [7] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, F. Kirwan, *Geometric Invariant Theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2). Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [8] M. Reineke, Framed quiver moduli, cohomology, and quantum groups, J. Algebra 320, 2008, no. 1, 94-115.

Moscow State University, department of Higher Algebra, Russia, 119991, Moscow, GSP-1, 1 Leninskiye Gory, Main Building

EMAIL: GLWRATH@YANDEX.RU